



**IUF 2024 CAMPAIGN
THE EVALUATION GRID OF THE APPLICATION FILES “INNOVATION”**

FILE NUMBER	FULL NAME	INSTITUTION	CNU	G	C	L	D	P	S

CATEGORY	MARK
Exceptional	5
Excellent	4
Good	3
Quite good	2
Insufficient	1

Please use the full grading scale, from 5 to 1.

EXCELLENCE OF THE APPLICANT	GRADES
G: The track record of the investigator features ground-breaking and promising research with actual or potential applications and transfers to the industry (in the broadest sense)	
C: The track record of the investigator contains evidence of creative thinking with measurable positive effects on society, industry, public policy, etc.	
L: The investigator has a clear potential of leadership in the transfer of research results to the society, industry, public policy, etc.	
D: The investigator disseminates its expertise and research findings in multiple ways, as demonstrated by teaching, publications, industrial added value, presentations, media engagement, etc.	

PROJECT	GRADES
P: Ground-breaking nature (or big incremental leap) and potential impact of the research project: Does the proposed research address important challenges? To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art? Does the project has a potential to transfer and promote research work to the industry?	
S: Scientific Approach: Is the outlined scientific approach feasible? Is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the project? Does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology? Does the investigator demonstrate the level of commitment to the project necessary for its feasibility and the willingness to devote a significant amount of time to the project?	

GENERAL COMMENTS	
Strengths: 300 characters maximum including spaces	Weaknesses: 300 characters maximum including spaces

Please write your comments in a constructive and helpful manner, knowing that they will be accessible and potentially read by applicants, especially those who may not be selected. Your anonymity will be preserved (however, the list of jury members shall be published in the Official Bulletin due to transparency requirements).

MARKS AND RANKINGS

The marks awarded automatically generate the applicant's ranking in one of the following categories:

- Rank A: Marks between 25 and 30,
- Rank B: Marks < 25 and ≥ 20,
- Rank C: Marks < 20.

MEMO: THREE TYPES OF IUF CHAIR

FUNDAMENTAL CHAIRS: These are the classic and usual IUF positions (or chairs) whose project's centre of gravity is in fundamental research, either in the natural and medical sciences or in the human and social sciences, which does not exclude an applied dimension.

INNOVATION CHAIRS: projects aiming to transfer and promote research work to companies (existing or created on this occasion, i.e., the so-called startups), local authorities, associations and citizens, and which, through the application of research, enables real innovation to be encouraged. The work involved may be methodological and/or technological, breakthrough or incremental. The projects identified will be likely to produce measurable positive effects on the economy, society, culture, public policies or services of public interest.

SCIENTIFIC MEDIATION CHAIRS: projects aimed at developing relations between institutions (of higher education and research) and society, with the aim of enlightening citizens and public debate on major societal issues according to a logic of scientific culture and approach (method). The projects identified may involve recognised partners (centres of scientific, technical and industrial culture, houses for science, museums, media, associations, etc.) and create participatory research approaches with citizens and/or public decision-makers.

In the spirit of the IUF and for the three types of chair above, the human and social sciences as well as the natural and medical sciences are expected to participate.